MINERALS OF TURQUOISE GROUP FROM SÂNDOMINIC, GURGHIU MTS., ROMANIA AND FROM PARÁDFÜRDŐ, MÁTRA MTS., HUNGARY

SZAKÁLL, S.*, KRISTÁLY, F. & ZAJZON, N.

Institute of Mineralogy and Geology, University of Miskolc, H-3515 Miskolc-Egyetemváros, Hungary * E-mail: askszs@uni-miskolc.hu

1) The Sândominic occurrence (Dorma Hill) is located in the southern termination of Gurghiu Mts, Eastern Carpathians, Romania, in the vicinity (~5 km from Fagul Cetății deposit) of the Bălan copper ore mineralization. The site is located on the contact of the Rebra metamorphic limestones and Tulgheş Lithogroup. Turquoise (Fig. 1) was found as incrustations in a highly fractured and oxidized, quartz dominated part of a milonitic rock. In the cracks and voids of quartz it is associated with goethite, occasionally with mm-size euhedral quartz. Here the meteoric fluids permeated the metamorphic rocks creating oxidizing environment, where turquoise formed of supergene origin. The pale blue or pale greenish blue mamillary form crusts (up to 0.2 mm thickness), according to the BSE images, consist of globular aggregates up to 5–10 µm in diameter. The samples are of poor crystallinity, according to X-ray powder diffraction (XRD; $CuK\alpha_1$). The main observed peaks were 6.193 Å, 4.791 Å, 3.682 Å, 3.427 Å, 3.279 Å and 2.905 Å, which correspond to the (011), $(\overline{1}01)$, $(1\overline{1}1)$, (210), (200) and (123) peaks of ferroan turquoise (ICDD 00-025-0260). According to wavelength dispersive microprobe measurements (WDX) the crusts are chemically homogenous. The empirical formula (water calculated from the difference) is $(Cu_{0.58}Fe_{0.37}Ba_{0.06}Zn_{0.04})Al_{5.94}(PO_4)_4(OH)_{7.92} \ \bullet \ 4.45H_2O.$ The B-site of the structure contains Al, the A-site is fully occupied by Cu and Fe²⁺. (The measured data in wt%: Al₂O₃ 33.05, P₂O₅ 32.86, Fe₂O₃ 3.60, CuO 5.63, ZnO 0.37, BaO 1.13, H₂O 23.35.)

2) At the Parádfürdő polymetallic ore deposit, Mátra Mts., Hungary the main sulphides are chalcopyrite, sphalerite and tetrahedrite/tennantite. Here the turquoise group mineral is of late-stage hydrothermal origin, it occurs in the cavities of quartz veinlets and is associated with quartz, barite, variscite, wavellite, jarosite and illite. The source of the hydrothermal fluids was likely meteoric water that was heated up during interaction

with the sulphide-rich plutons at depth. This interaction with Cu-Zn-Fe-rich sulphides produced acidic fluids with leached out ions (Cu-Zn-Fe), which were necessary to form turquoise group minerals. The source of phosphorus could be the hydrothermally altered rockforming apatite. Here the turquoise mineral (Fig. 1) is a solid solution of aheylite $(Fe^{2+},Zn)Al_6(PO_4)_4(OH)_8$ • 4H₂O, faustite (Zn,Cu)Al₆(PO₄)₄(OH)₈ • 4H₂O and planerite $\square Al_6(PO_4)_2(PO_3OH)_2(OH)_8 \cdot 4H_2O$. Similar situation was already observed elsewhere (FOORD & TAGGERT, 1998). The mineral appears as pale yellowish brown hemispheres, up to 0.5 mm in diameter. The inner part of the spheres is massive, while the rim is formed of porous aggregates of crystals (up to 1-2 µm in size). These hemispheres build up loose aggregates (up to 1-2 cm in size). Good quality XRD patterns $(CuK\alpha_1)$ gave peaks at 6.773 Å, 3.088 Å and 2.931 Å which correspond to the (001), $(0\overline{2}2)$ and $(\overline{1}12)$ peaks of planerite (ICDD 00-050-1654). Aheylite was also identified by the reflections 4.802 Å, 2,057 Å and 2.014 Å representing the $(\overline{1}10)$, (231) and (202) hkl planes (ICDD 00-050-1653). The strongest peaks are overlapping at 6.185 Å, 3.690 Å and 3.436 Å. The hemispheres, both their inner part and their rim, are chemically homogenous. The empirical formula is $(Zn_{0.21}Fe_{0.18}Cu_{0.11})Al_{5.91}(PO_4)_4(OH)_{6.73}$ • 5.07 H_2O . The B-site is occupied by Al, however, the A-site is only half-occupied by $Zn \approx Fe^{2+} > Cu$ cations, thus the mineral is between aheylite, faustite and planerite. [The measured WDX data in wt%: Al₂O₃ 38.51, P₂O₅ 36.27, FeO 1.65, CuO 1.14, ZnO 2.20, H₂O 22.23 (calculated from difference)]. Seemingly this is a complicated, but characteristic solid solution in the turquoise group.

Reference

FOORD, E.E. & TAGGERT, J.E., Jr. (1998): Mineralogical Magazine, 62: 93–110.





Fig. 1. Light green crust of turquoise from Sândominic, Romania (left) and yellowish brown hemispheres of aheylite-planerite from Parádfürdő, Hungary.